

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,792	09/831,792 10/18/2001		Guillaume Royer	S1022/8246	9624	
23628	7590	04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
		LD & SACKS, PC	LE, UYEN CHAU N			
FEDERAL I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, 1	MA 022	10-2211	2876			
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/831,792	ROYER, GUILLAUME
·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		
		MICHAEL C JEE
		SORY PATENT EXAMINER NOI OGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The phrase "a double faced adhesive having first and second adhesive surfaces, wherein the first adhesive surface is glued on one of the base surfaces and the second adhesive surface forms an outward adhesive surface of the self-adhesive electronic circuit." in claim 1 raises a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-23 remains rejected as set forth in the final rejection (mailed 03 November 2003).